

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addeas: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,599	07/06/2006	Heiko Kober	095309.56901US	6022
29911 7590 09082098 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1710111101111101111100			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/553,599 KOBER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR 2131 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 23-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/18/05

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/553,599 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

#### DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the communication filed on October 18, 2005.
Claims 1-22 were originally received for consideration. Per the received preliminary

amendment, claims 1-22 were cancelled and claims 23-44 are added.

Claims 23-44 are currently pending consideration.

### Information Disclosure Statement

 An initialed and dated copy of Applicant's IDS form 1449, received on 10/18/2005, is attached to this Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Thomlinson et al. (U.S. Patent 6,044,155).

Regarding claim 23, Thomlinson discloses:

Art Unit: 2131

A method for loading an application program into a program memory of a microprocessor system having a processor bus that is connected to at least one microprocessor; at least one program memory with a boot sector, a flash boot loader, an electrically erasable and programmable memory and a read-write memory; and at least one system interface; said method comprising:

producing an authentication code for the application program (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

reading in the authentication code and the current application program, via the system interface (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67); and

before a read-in current application program is actuated, checking the authentication code (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

wherein, the authentication code is calculated in a secured area by concatenating the application program with a first secret data string and calculating a hash value from the concatenated application program (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

the hash value is read into the microprocessor system, via the system interface, as an authentication code (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

a second, identical, secret data string is stored in the microprocessor system (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

the read-in application program is concatenated with the second secret data string in the microprocessor system (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67); and

Art Unit: 2131

a hash value is calculated by the read-in, concatenated application program in the microprocessor and is compared with the transmitted authentication code (column 17, lines 1-5).

Claim 24 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 23. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 23, wherein:

the application program is concatenated with the first secret data string in the microprocessor at the start of the program and at the end of the program, both in the secured area and during the authenticity checking (column 12, lines 35-47, column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

a hash value is calculated using the application program which is concatenated at both ends (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67); and

the hash value is read in as an authentication code at the system interface (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 25 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 23. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 23, wherein:

the application program is initially concatenated with the first secret data string either at the start of the program or at the end of the program (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

Art Unit: 2131

in a following step, a first hash value is calculated in the secured area by using the application program which is concatenated at one end (column 7, lines 54-59, column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

in a subsequent step, the first hash value is concatenated with a first secret data string at one end (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

in a still further step, a second hash value is calculated by the combination of a first hash value and the first secret data string, and said second hash value is read in as an authentication code at the system interface (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

a second, identical, secret data string is stored in the microprocessor system and the steps carried out in the secured area are repeated with the original application program in the same sequence using said second secret data string in the microprocessor (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67); and

the hash value which is calculated in the microprocessor is compared with the hash value which is read in at the system interface (column 17, lines 1-5).

Claim 26 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 25. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 25, wherein the authentication code is transferred together with the application program (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 27 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 25. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 25, wherein the authentication code is transferred separately from the application program (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 28 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 27. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 27, wherein:

the application program is stored and distributed in a memory medium (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67); and

the authentication code is transmitted to the system interface from the secured area by means of data transmission (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 29 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 26. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 26, wherein the application program and the authentication code are transmitted to the system interface from the secured area by data transmission (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67)

Claim 30 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 29. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

Art Unit: 2131

The method as claimed in claim 29, wherein the authentication code is read into a control unit of a motor vehicle via the diagnostic interface (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 31 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 30. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 30, wherein if a read-in authentication code and a hash value calculated in the microprocessor correspond, the associated application program is provided with an identifier as a valid application program (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 32 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 31. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 31, wherein flashware meta information is included in the authentication code (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 33 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 32. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 32, wherein the authentication code is used to selectively download the application program into various control units (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

## Regarding claim 34, Thomlinson discloses:

A method for safeguarding authenticity of flashware for a control unit of a motor vehicle in which an application program is stored in a program memory; said method comprising:

in a secured area, concatenating the application program with a first secret data string, and calculating a hash value using the concatenated application program (column 12, lines 35-47, column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

reading the hash value into the control unit as an authentication code (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

storing a second, identical, secret data string in the control unit (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

concatenating application program with the second secret data string in the control unit (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67):

calculating a second hash value using the concatenated application program in the control unit (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67); and

comparing the calculated second hash value with the transmitted authentication code (column 17, lines 1-5).

Claim 35 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 34. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 34, wherein:

Art Unit: 2131

the application program is concatenated with the first secret data string in the control unit at the start of the program and at the end of the program, both in the secured area and during the authentication checking (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

a hash value is calculated using the application program which is concatenated at both ends (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67); and

the hash value is read in as an authentication code at the system interface (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 36 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 34. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 34, wherein:

the application program is initially concatenated with the first secret data string either at the start of the program or at the end of the program (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

in a following step, a first hash value is calculated in the secured area using the application program which is concatenated at one end (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

in a subsequent step, the first hash value is concatenated with a first secret data string at one end (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

in a still further step, a second hash value is calculated by the combination of a first hash value and the first secret data string, and said second hash value is read in as

Art Unit: 2131

an authentication code at the system interface (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67);

a second, identical, secret data string is stored in the control unit and the steps carried out in the secured area are repeated with the original application program in the same sequence using said data string in the control unit (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67); and

the hash value which is calculated in the control unit is compared with the hash value which is read in at the system interface (column 17, lines 1–5).

Claim 37 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 36. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claims 36, wherein the authentication code is transferred together with the application program (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 38 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 36. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 36, wherein the authentication code is transferred separately from the application program (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 39 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 38. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 38, wherein the application program is stored and distributed in a memory medium (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67); and

the authentication code is transmitted to the system interface from the secured area by means of data transmission (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 40 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 37. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 37, wherein the application program and the authentication code are transmitted to the system interface from the secured area by means of data transmission (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 41 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 40. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 40, wherein the authentication code is read into a control unit of a motor vehicle via the diagnostic interface (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 42 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 41. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

Art Unit: 2131

The method as claimed in claim 41, wherein if a read-in authentication code and a hash value calculated in the control unit correspond, the associated application program is provided with an identifier as a valid application program (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 43 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 42. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 42, wherein flashware meta information is included in the authentication code (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

Claim 44 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 43. Furthermore, Thomlinson discloses:

The method as claimed in claim 43, wherein the authentication code is used to selectively download the application program into various control units (column 9, lines 43-52, column 16, lines 25-67).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR whose telephone number is (571)272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

Art Unit: 2131

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kaveh Abrishamkar/ Examiner, Art Unit 2131

/K. A./ 09/02/2008 Examiner, Art Unit 2131